

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,682	12/31/2003	Brian Andrew Phillips	2043.035US2	2158
,,,,,,	7590 08/24/2007 N, LUNDBERG & WOES	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2938	3	FADOK, MARK A		
MINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55402		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3625	
•			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/24/2007	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTO@SLWIP.COM

	Application No. Applicant(s)					
Interview Summary	10/749,682	PHILLIPS ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark Fadok	3625				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) Mark Fadok.	(3)					
(2) <u>Peter Rebuffoni</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 13 August 2007.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)⊠ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed:						
Identification of prior art discussed:						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached g) was not reached h) № N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Rebuffoni called to ask what the basis of the rejection was, since the office action did not indicate if the the rejection was a 102 or 103. The examiner clarified that the rejection of claims 16-19 were a 102 in view of Hu and that claim 20 was a 103, Hu in view of Official Notice. The examiner stated that the office action will be corrected in reply to the next applicant response.						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
	•					
•						
· .						
	111	$\mathcal{T}_{a}$				
Evaminer Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	w(a)					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Interview Summary

Paper No. 20070814

Examiner's signature, if required